

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
School Name	Marjorie Veeh Elementary School	District Name	Tustin Unified School District
Street	1701 San Juan Street	Phone Number	(714) 730-7301
City, State, Zip	Tustin, CA 92780	Web Site	www.tustin.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	(714) 730-7544	Superintendent	Gregory A. Franklin, Ed.D.
Principal	Ryan Bollenbach	E-mail Address	gfranklin@tustin.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	rbollenbach@tustin.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	30-73643-6030670

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Dedicated to working together to ensure that all students meet or exceed standards.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Being involved supports student success at Veeh. The Veeh Family is made up of dedicated professionals on staff, committed parents and community members. Parents are strongly encouraged to participate in the education of their children through a number of opportunities. Organized and ongoing opportunities include the Veeh Parent/Teacher Association (PTA), the School Site Council (SSC), the English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC), family educational nights. Each year we kick off our school calendar with a "Back to School" night and end our year with an "Open House" evening. Parents are additionally encouraged to participate as classroom and campus volunteers. To aide in communication, Veeh has several bilingual staff members whom assist in our community outreach efforts. This bilingual staff includes our Community Liaison. In addition, Veeh promotes school/home communication through written updates, PACE home calling software, the electronic school marquee, and consistent event fliers. Each fall the parents meet with teachers to discuss student goals for the year and to review relevant assessment data. These conferences continue in the spring when parents and teachers again meet to review student goals and academic progress.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	77
Grade 1	72
Grade 2	66
Grade 3	76
Grade 4	100
Grade 5	93
Total Enrollment	484

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.5	White	9.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2	Two or More Races	2.3
Asian	5.4	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80.6
Filipino	1	English Learners	57.9
Hispanic or Latino	76.2	Students with Disabilities	9.3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.6		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2008-09				2009-10				2010-11			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	33	0	0	3	32		2		16	4	0	0
1	20	4	0	0	22.5		2		32	0	3	1
2	20	6	0	0	31		2		31	0	2	0
3	20	5	0	0	31		3		32.7	0	1	2
4	29	0	2	0	32		2		28.5	1	0	3
5	31.5	0	2	0	36			1	27.7	1	0	2
Other	0	0	0	0								

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Providing a safe, positive learning environment at Veeh is our first priority. To this end, the Tustin Unified School District has developed a comprehensive emergency and school safety plan. This plan, created in cooperation with the Tustin, Irvine, and Orange County law enforcement and fire departments, addresses emergency and earthquake preparedness, staff in-service, crisis response, and systematic campus supervision. The Veeh staff and students practice emergency procedures on a monthly basis. Additionally, emergency preparedness supplies have been organized and are stored on campus. Our site plan is reviewed and updated with community and staff input on an annual basis.

Our School Safety Plan includes the following elements: Child abuse reporting procedures, disaster procedures, routine and emergency (SEMS); suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations; procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils; sexual harassment policy; provisions of any schoolwide dress code; procedures for safe ingress and egress; safe and orderly environment; rules and procedures on school discipline and dress code; school safety goals and objectives.

The Safe School Plan was reviewed and approved by the faculty on 05/10/11.

The Safe School Plan was reviewed with the School Site Council on 09/20/11.

The Safe School Plan was updated and approved by the School Site Council on 10/18/11.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	2.57	2.95	4.96	4.62	4.38	4.87
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.18	0.19	0.15

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Marjorie Veeh Elementary School was built in 1963 (originally named San Juan Elementary School). Additional improvements were made to the campus over subsequent years. Veeh can currently accommodate 684 students. District and site maintenance and grounds personnel provide upkeep and repair to the school grounds. During the summer of both 2004 and 2005, additional restrooms were added to the campus and renovation work included updating the school's original restrooms, creating handicap accessible sinks and doorways, and modernizing the school's electrical infrastructure. During the summer of 2006, the ramp-ways to each portable classroom was rebuilt or repaired, the entire sprinkler system was rebuilt, the playground received a slurry-coat, and the office along with several classrooms were repainted for modernization. In summer of 2009, modified classrooms were deconstructed back to the original size when school was constructed. The site is in good condition, and passed the most recent site inspection without any repairs needed.

The facility inspection upon which this report was generated was completed on December 6, 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Carpeting was replaced in portable classrooms during the 2008 summer break.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	23	19	16	735
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

** "Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	99.52	0.48
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.03	0.97
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.85	0.15

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	.4	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	.2	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.9	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	---
Other	.8	---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2011

On October 10, 2011, the Tustin Unified School District Board of Education verified by resolution that each student at Marjorie Veeh Elementary School has a textbook and/or instructional materials for each core subject area that are aligned to the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board of Education.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks and support materials are state-adopted and consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Houghton Mifflin Reading: California, Medallion Edition (2010 is the state adopted textbook for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials Santillana Intensive English Teacher's Resource Kit and EL Achieve lesson plans are used as supplemental materials for English Language Learners.</p>	Yes	0%
Mathematics	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks and support materials are state-adopted and consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Houghton-Mifflin California Pupil Edition (2009) is the state adopted core curriculum for the district.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials In mathematics, we are using Math Pacing Guides developed through the University of California, Irvine Math Project, which provide standards-based alignment with our Houghton-Mifflin math text, as well as manipulative based, experiential learning activities.</p>	Yes	0%

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks and support materials are state-approved and consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Houghton-Mifflin California Science, Interactive Text (2007) is the state adopted textbook for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials Beckman@Science is the supplemental science curriculum used for grades K-5. This curriculum sequentially defines age appropriate skills and concepts within the Life, Earth, and Physical science standards. A science curriculum guide for each grade level contains lesson plans and is available for teachers to use for classroom instruction. Science Kits containing materials for the entire class to use are provided to each classroom on a trimester rotation schedule.</p>	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks and support materials are state-approved and consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Harcourt Reflections (K-5), 2007 is the state adopted core curriculum for the district.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>	Yes	0%
Foreign Language			
Health	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks are state-adopted, in good condition, and are available consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. Health curriculum materials are incorporated in the Science textbooks in elementary school.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>		
Visual and Performing Arts	Supplemental Textbooks and Materials		

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,445	\$1,871	\$4,574	\$79,089
District	---	---	\$4,328	\$73,154
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	5.69%	8.11%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$69,207
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	-16.14%	16.00%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Veeh Elementary School receives funding for categorical programs that are available at our school site. These programs include Title I and Economic Impact Aid (EIA). The school's Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) identifies student need and addresses specifically how these categorical funds will support student learning.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,210	\$42,017
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,930	\$67,294
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,074	\$86,776
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$127,113	\$108,534
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$131,967	\$112,893
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$138,109	\$123,331
Superintendent Salary	\$252,906	\$226,417
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	43	45	42	64	66	68	49	52	54
Mathematics	52	57	52	58	60	64	46	48	50
Science	25	37	39	66	70	75	50	54	57
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	55	59	63	41	44	48

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	68	64	75	63
All Student at the School	42	52	39	N/A
Male	39	52	44	N/A
Female	46	52	33	N/A
Black or African American	33	33	0	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	N/A
Asian	47	84	0	N/A
Filipino	0	0	0	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	41	51	38	N/A
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	N/A
White	53	55	0	N/A
Two or More Races	0	0	0	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	51	37	N/A
English Learners	30	46	26	N/A
Students with Disabilities	53	61	0	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				N/A

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	45.7	26.1	17.4

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	5	4	4
Similar Schools	6	4	6

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	6	11	-10
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	12	7	-7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9	17	-2
English Learners	15	-3	0
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	316	767	17,141	857	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	19	730	374	771	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		36	871	33,774	733
Asian	19	830	2,775	958	398,869	898
Filipino	2		334	907	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	239	762	7,607	779	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1		84	815	26,953	764
White	29	777	5,611	912	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	5		246	922	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	241	758	6,771	769	2,731,843	726
English Learners	188	755	5,506	761	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	43	800	1,649	689	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	8
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	27.6

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling**Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

This past year, the school invited an educational consultant to strengthen Tier I instruction as this was an identified area of need for the school. During Professional Development Wednesdays, teachers receive training in literacy, mathematics and English Language Development. The staff utilizes data analysis protocols to systematically evaluate the results of assessment and evaluate instruction and drive decision making. In addition to site based activities, teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. Curriculum Consults include representation from all District schools and meet to evaluate and recommend to the Board of Education all curricular changes, new courses and instructional materials. Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) programs, District workshops, and professional conferences are opportunities for professional development. The District continues to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers utilize student assessment results to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Our school wide focus is literacy development and the implementation of RTI/Team time.