

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
School Name	C.E. Utt Middle School	District Name	Tustin Unified School District
Street	13601 Browning Ave.	Phone Number	(714) 730-7301
City, State, Zip	Tustin, CA 92780	Web Site	www.tustin.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	(714) 730-7573	Superintendent	Gregory A. Franklin, Ed.D.
Principal	Thomas Giebe	E-mail Address	gfranklin@tustin.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	tgiebe@tustin.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	30-73643-6030571

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Our Core Values

Attitude:

We believe in the importance of having a positive attitude on and off campus, respecting those around us, as well as being responsible to ourselves and others. We also commit ourselves to being determined to continue to improve during tough times, and to work through the difficulties we face on a daily basis.

Commitment:

We commit ourselves, as students, staff, parents, and community members to prioritize, embrace challenges, set goals, practice, take risks, compete, and finish strong in all we endeavor to accomplish.

Achievement:

We, the C.E. Utt Middle School community, will work together to help our students to achieve their personal best academically, socially, and emotionally in all that they strive to do.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

The UMS Parent Teacher Organization is vital to Utt's success. It provides a wealth of resources and support for teachers, parents, and students. Volunteers organize school events and raise funds to support programs. The PTO sponsors parent education nights on a variety of topics, family fun events on campus, such as our Fear Factor Night, family "restaurant" nights, and supports the instructional program by providing funds for additional technology and enrichment clubs/programs. Our PTO is also very active in the community as a whole, helping to support District and City wide events such as the Dino Dash, Save our Sports program, and other activities.

All parents are encouraged to become involved in the PTO, School Site Council, and our English Language Advisory Committee. All school events including band/orchestra performances, Back-to-School Night, At-Risk Conferences, and Report Card Nights are well attended and reflect the rich cultural diversity of the school. Language translation services are offered to families whose primary language is other than English.

Communication is a priority for the principal. A newsletter is sent out every Monday to keep parents informed of all upcoming events and current school issues. Parents may obtain the "Monday Message" through e-mail subscription after the first of the year. UMS also provides our parents Internet-based access to their child's current classroom grades and attendance. All Utt teachers post nightly or weekly homework assignments on our school website.

In addition to the above mentioned proactive communication C.E. Utt Middle School also holds At-Risk Conferences twice a year where teachers, parents, and students can gather and meet to help address individual issues that some students may have on campus, as well as two Report Card Nights where parents can attend, pick up the student report card, and speak with their students' teachers' regarding their progress.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	333
Grade 7	276
Grade 8	338
Total Enrollment	947

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.6	White	23.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5	Two or More Races	0.6
Asian	7.3	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	56.7
Filipino	2.7	English Learners	35.7
Hispanic or Latino	59.6	Students with Disabilities	9.2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2008-09			2009-10			2010-11					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	27.5	15	23	12	32.9	3	10	13	26.2	11	5	14
Mathematics	27.9	6	26	9	29.4	3	13	11	29.7	6	1	14
Science	30	6	7	16	33.5	0	14	14	32.7	3	2	18
Social Science	29.5	5	13	10	32.9	3	10	13	28.5	6	4	11

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Utt staff members have worked proactively to prepare for any possible emergency. Our School Safety Plan and Emergency Preparedness Plan, which has been reviewed and passed by our School Site Council, are updated annually by the school safety committee. All classrooms are equipped with an emergency backpack storing attendance sheets, first aid kit, triage cards, and a flashlight. Staff and students are familiar with fire and earthquake emergency procedures, intruder alert, and bus evacuation drills. In addition, all staff have been assigned certain jobs on campus, from facility security to search and rescue, in the event that an emergency or disaster should take place. C.E. Utt Middle School will also take part in the Great Southern California Shakeout in October. We will hold disaster drills on a monthly basis, alternating between fire drills and disaster drills. Collaboratively, we worked with local law enforcement agencies to develop a Crisis Response Kit containing aerial campus photos, student health records, evacuation procedures, a campus blueprint, etc. Our School Safety Plan was reviewed by the School Site Council on September 27, 2011, updated and approved by the School Site Council on October 25, 2011, and discussed with the staff on November 16, 2011.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	8.32	7.57	8.76	4.62	4.38	4.87
Expulsions	0.22	0.7	0.21	0.18	0.19	0.21

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Students and staff are proud of Utt Middle School and take good care of the facility. The school is attractive, clean, and well-maintained by a conscientious custodial staff. The facilities include a multipurpose room where many District, community, and site performances, workshops, parent and student meetings, special assemblies and concerts take place, as well as an outdoor stage that serves as a home for the many assemblies we hold on campus during the school day, and PTO sponsored events that may be held outside of regular school hours. The school's large, grass-covered sports fields serve as a hub of school and community athletic events after school and on weekends. The facility inspection upon which this report was generated was completed on December 7, 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	36	33	29	735
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	5	2	4	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	2	4	4
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

** "Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	99.52	0.48
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.03	0.97
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.85	0.15

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	476
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	.5	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	.2	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	---
Other	2	---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2011

On October 10, 2011, the Tustin Unified School District Board of Education verified by resolution that each student at Utt Middle School has a textbook and/or instructional materials for each core subject area that are aligned to the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board of Education.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks are state-adopted, in good condition, and are available consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Holt, Rinehart and Winston Literature and Language Arts (2003) is the state adopted textbook for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials Hampton Brown High Point (2002) and Steck Vaughn Gateways (2008) are used as a supplemental material with English Language Learners.</p>	Yes	0%
Mathematics	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks are state-adopted, in good condition, and are available consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston Course 1: Numbers to Algebra (2008) – Grade 6 and Course 2: Pre-Algebra (2008), Pearson/Prentice Hall California Algebra I (2009), and McDougall Littell Geometry (2007) are the state adopted textbooks for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>	Yes	0%
Science	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks are state-adopted, in good condition, and are available consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Pearson/Prentice Hall Focus on Earth Science California Edition (2008), Focus on Life Science California Edition (2008), and Focus on Physical Science California Edition (2008) are the state adopted textbooks for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>	Yes	0%

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks are state-adopted, in good condition, and are available consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Holt, Rinehart and Winston World History – Ancient Civilizations (2006), World History – Medieval to Early Modern Times (2006), and U. S. History – Independence to 1914 (2006) are the state adopted textbooks for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	<p>State-Adopted Textbooks Textbooks for Spanish, French, and exploration foreign language instruction are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Holt, Rinehart and Winston Adelante (1999) and En Camino (1999) are the state adopted Spanish textbooks for the District. Glencoe/McGraw Hill Bienvenue (1998) and Prentice Hall Bon Voyage (2001) are the state adopted French textbooks for the District.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>	Yes	0%
Health	<p>Textbooks are state-adopted, in good condition, and are available consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. Health curriculum materials are incorporated in the Science textbooks in middle school.</p> <p>Supplemental Textbooks and Materials</p>	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	Supplemental Textbooks and Materials		

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,901	\$1,594	\$4,307	\$75,316
District	---	---	\$4,328	\$73,154
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-0.49%	2.96%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$69,207
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	-21.05%	10.47%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Additional services provided to students include: Think Together after school program; reduced class sizes (student:teacher ratio); additional intervention and enrichment courses; supplemental instructional materials and academic programs.

Services are specifically designed to align to the funding source criteria in meeting the needs of our diverse student population.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,210	\$42,017
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,930	\$67,294
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,074	\$86,776
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$127,113	\$108,534
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$131,967	\$112,893
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$138,109	\$123,331
Superintendent Salary	\$252,906	\$226,417
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	63	70	70	64	66	68	49	52	54
Mathematics	63	67	72	58	60	64	46	48	50
Science	79	81	89	66	70	75	50	54	57
History-Social Science	52	54	58	55	59	63	41	44	48

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	68	64	75	63
All Student at the School	70	72	89	58
Male	67	69	91	62
Female	74	74	88	54
Black or African American	71	74	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
Asian	86	93	100	88
Filipino	85	92	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	61	64	82	46
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White	85	80	97	69
Two or More Races	86	86	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64	68	83	50
English Learners	32	51	54	13
Students with Disabilities	60	62	0	14
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	11.9	27.4	46.6

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	8	9	9
Similar Schools	10	10	10

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	22	29	3
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	32	36	9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	8	34	-2
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39	33	16
English Learners	16	34	18
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	893	874	17,141	857	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	32	829	374	771	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		36	871	33,774	733
Asian	69	951	2,775	958	398,869	898
Filipino	24	915	334	907	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	532	838	7,607	779	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	4		84	815	26,953	764
White	208	932	5,611	912	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	6		246	922	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	501	843	6,771	769	2,731,843	726
English Learners	314	807	5,506	761	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	96	785	1,649	689	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	8
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	27.6

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

All professional development is driven by student achievement results. Frequent, regular assessment are shared throughout departments to increase understanding of student learning and effectiveness of our teaching. Based on both, professional development needs are identified.

Our site level Professional Development Plan aligns to our Single Plan for Student Achievement. Our professional development plan is also aligned to the California Standards of the Teaching Profession. Based on teacher's annual professional goals and objectives, professional development opportunities are identified to meet the standards. The professional teaching standards promote student access to grade level and content area standards.

In addition to our teachers, our administrators and counselors also attend a variety of professional in-services dealing with a variety of issues that affect our schools.

Every Wednesday morning, students arrive at 8:55 a.m. providing staff with nearly 90 minutes of collaboration time. The meetings are formatted for professional development in-services and/or Professional Learning Community time.